1			
2	Joshua A. Sliker (Nevada Bar No. 12493)		
	Joshua.Sliker@jacksonlewis.com		
3	300 S. Fourth Street, Suite 900 Las Vegas, NV 89101		
4	Telephone: (702) 921-2460		
-	Facsimile: (702) 921-2461		
5	CWA DIGA DV D C		
6	CHARIS LEX P.C. Sean P. Gates (admitted pro hac vice)		
	sgates@charislex.com		
7	Douglas J. Beteta (admitted pro hac vice)		
8	dbeteta@charislex.com		
0	301 N. Lake Ave., Suite 1100, Pasadena, CA 91101		
9	Telephone: (626) 508-1717 Facsimile: (626) 508-1730		
10	racsimile: (620) 308-1730		
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant Tesla,	Inc.	
12			
	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.		
13	william w. Fischbach in (admitted pro hac vice)		
14			
15			
16	2525 East Camelback Road Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4229		
17	Telephone: (602) 255-6000		
L /	Facsimile: (602) 255-0103		
18	Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff Martin Tripp		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
21	TESLA, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Case No. 3:18-cv-00296-LRH-CBC	
22	Plaintiff,		
23	•	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] MODIFICATION TO	
24	VS.	SCHEDULING ORDER	
24	MARTIN TRIPP, an individual,	(FOURTH REQUEST)	
25		(Fockin in 1920 251)	
26	Defendant.		
27	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS		
28	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS		

8

12

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

Pursuant to Local Rule 26-4, Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla") and Defendant and Counter-Plaintiff Martin Tripp ("Tripp") submit the following Stipulation and Proposed Modification to Scheduling Order.

Tripp intends to file two motions to compel discovery, which Tesla will oppose. The first will seek to compel the deposition of Elon Musk, which issue has been discussed with the Court in several Case Management Conferences. (ECF 54, 67, 72.) The second motion will seek to compel the production of certain documents withheld on the basis of privilege. All other discovery has been completed.

Good cause exists because modification is necessary to allow for the Court to rule on these motions prior the parties' filing any dispositive motions, allowing any dispositive motions to be based on a complete record.

The Court previously modified the Scheduling Order on December 5, 2018, March 6, 2019, and June 19, 2019, the Court modified the Scheduling Order pursuant to stipulation of the parties. (ECF Nos. 55, 68, and 81.) This is therefore the fourth request for modification of the Scheduling Order. The parties do not anticipate requesting further modifications.

For the foregoing reasons, the parties stipulate and respectfully request that the Scheduling Order be modified as follows:

- **Dispositive Motions:** Dispositive motions must be filed no later (1) in the case that the Court denies the motions, 30 days following the date on which the Court has issued both rulings; (2) in the case that the Court grants either motion, 30 days following the date on which the Court orders any discovery to be completed.
- 2. **Pretrial Order**: The pretrial order shall be filed 30 days after the date set for filing dispositive motions. In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until 30 days after the decision of the dispositive motions or until further order of the Court. The disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3), and any objections thereto, shall be included in the pretrial order.
- 3. All other deadlines remain as stated in the Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order previously entered by the Court (ECF Nos. 31, 55, 68 & 81).

1		
2	Dated: September 26, 2019	CHARIS LEX P.C.
3		
4		By: /s/ Sean P. Gates
5		Sean P. Gates Attorneys for Plaintiff and
6		Counter-Defendant Tesla, Inc.
7	Dated: September 26, 2019	TIFFANY & BOSCO, P.A.
8	,	,
9		By: /s/ William Fischbach William Fischbach
10		William Fischbach Attorneys for Defendant Martin Tripp
11		The many of the Bottemanne manual mapp
12		
13		
14		ORDER
15		IT IS SO ORDERED:
16		
17 18		HON. CARLA BALDWIN CARRY
19		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
20		DATED:
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		- 3 -